

## REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE (RFA) FORM **INTAKE INTERVIEW LOG**

Date:	. 1/29/14 Interview	ver: Sue Guenter-Schlesinger	RFA #14 - 01	
Person(s)	Requesting Assistanc	<u>e:</u>		
Contact Nu	ımbers (telephone, e-ı	nail, etc.):		
Status of P	erson(s) Interviewed	(title, position, student status, etc.): Former emp	loyee at WWU (Aramark)	
	Assistance Pertaining mination on basis of pre	g To (name, position, policy, project, etc.) egnancy		
To the best of y	your knowledge, please	fill out the following:		
nterviewee Status: Male□ Female ☑ Administrator □ Faculty □ Staff ☑ Student □ Concern Regarding: Male□ Female □ Administrator □ Faculty □ Staff □ Student □				
☐ Age ☐ Marital Standard Sex/Gend		☐ Creed ☐ Disability Origin ☐ Race ☐ Religion ☐ Sexual Orientation ☑ Employment	☐ Veteran Status ☐ Retaliation ent ☐ Genetic Information	
	Time Line			
Date	Item	Comments		
1/22/2014	email to SGS	Requesting to discuss something with SGS.		
1/24/2014	Lynae t/c with	Scheduled appointment for her and daughter to meet with SGS on 1/29/2014.		
1/29/2014	SGS intake with and	was hired as a lead and became a supervisor. La work that she was pregnant. She discussed with have some complications throughout her pregnan limitations, such as not pushing carts that were 75	that she might acy and might have some to pounds or more and not and isn't the place for a with that was about to go way going to come up.  Aramark	

	T	related limitations in her file.
		worked March through June. The climate was okay, although made her feel "handicapped." In June, once school was out and there weren't many campus events, was only scheduling for a few hours because he said she was hard to accommodate. Then a few weeks later, she wasn't on the schedule at all. After June 28, called in HR because she hadn't been on the schedule for two weeks. Said she would lave off until after the baby came so she could collect unemployment. Said to call her after the baby was born and thought that's all she would need to do to begin working again indicated they would accommodate her limitations. She gave a packet re: FMLA but never told her anything about it.
		After having the baby, never called and tried to get ahold of never called back. So visited in late October 2013. He was very surprised and said he didn't know she was coming back and that she'd have to talk with thinks he was very stand-offish.
		saw baby's hands and asked about them. didn't know had spoken with supervisor. She didn't know her mother had spoken with and told her about the baby's hands made it seem he had no idea and didn't know if they were hiring or had available hours. checked Craigslist and saw that her position was posted that week. had accidentally sent mother an email intended for stating they hoped "had moved on."
		called the week after met with She told that an email conversation had been forwarded by accident to mother and she was calling to say sorry. She was saying was in the wrong and had no place to work in the catering department and that she made it a hostile environment. The asked her why her job was gone and didn't feel out the proper paperwork and was hard to accommodate when pregnant said if she wanted a job with Aramark, she could reapply.  The asked who the union rep was and said she didn't know and that they probably wouldn't help because hadn't signed the union card. had asked and about five times for a union card to sign.  Aramark terminated her employment the end of November.
2/12/14	email to	When left on maternity leave and went to turn in her keys, told her to keep them for when she returns.
2/12/14	SGS email to	Thank you for email. Asking to call at her convenience.
2/13/14		Asking SGS to call during the day on her cell.
		After discussion with Lisa Wochos, Sue referred to the Human Rights Commission and EEOC.